

















































TYPES O	F VARIABI		MARQUETI UNIVERSITY Be The Difference.	
Examples:				
	Num	neric	Cate	egorical
Variable	Discrete	Continuous	Nominal	Ordinal
Weight		X		
Hours Enrolled	X			
Major			x	
Zip Code			X	



LITATIVE DATA	
ble 2.1 lists the number of	cases of each type of
eration performed at Gene	eral Hospital last year.
Type of Operation	Number of Cases
Thoracic	20
Bones and joints	45
Eye, ear, nose, and throat	58
General	98
Abdominal	115
Urologic	74
Proctologic	65
	23
Neurosurgery	







Source

 Cook and Weisberg (1994), An Introduction to Regression Graphics. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Variable	Description
sex	sex
sport	sport
rcc	red cell count
wcc	white cell count
Hc	Hematocrit
Hg	Hemoglobin
Fe	plasma ferritin concentration
bmi	body mass index, weight/(height)
ssf	sum of skin folds
Bfat	body fat percentage
lbm	lean body mass
Ht	height (cm)
Wt	weight (Kg)











































































KAMH	PLE 1	CO	NT'D)					1ARQ NIVERSIT
								Be	The Differen
					Gondor —	1.4	Major		
The re	sultin	g 2 🗙	3 cont	ingency	M III	(5)		6)	(7)
1	Lab.	0 = ··) toble		F	(6)		4]	(2)
(cross	-tapu	lation) table	e is:					
			Major						
Gender	LA	BA	T	Row Total					
F	5	0 4	Ŷ	12					
Col. Total	11	10	(9)	30					
Perce	ntage	s Bas	ed on t	the Grand	l Total (Ei	ntire S	ample	e)	
Perce	ntage	s Base	ed on t Major	the Grand	l Total (Ei	ntire S	ample	e)	
Perce	ntage	BA	ed on t Major T	the Grance Row Total	i Total (Ei	ntire S	ample	e)	
Percei	17% 20%	ва Ваз о ва 20% 13%	ed on t Major T 20% 7%	the Grand Row Total	l Total (Ei	ntire S	ample	e)	
Perce Gender M. F Col. Total	LA 17% 20% 37%	BA 20% 13% 33%	ed on t Major T 2% 30%	the Grand Row Total 60% 40%	i Total (Ei	ntire S	ample	9)	
Perce Gender M. F Col. Total	17% 20% 37%	BA 20% 13% 33%	ed on t Major T 2% 7% 30%	Row Total 60% 40% 100%	i Total (Ei	ntire S	ample	e)	T
Percei Gender M. F Col. Total – Per	LA 17% 20% 37% centage	BA 20% 13% 33% Base	ed on t Major T 20% 7% 30% d on Row	Row Total 60% 40% 100% v Totals	i Total (E i Percei	ntire S ntages E	ample Based on	e) 1 Colum	n Tota
Percel Gender M F Col. Total – Per – (Ma	ITAGE	BA 20% 13% 33% es Base within (ed on t Major T 23% 7% 30% d on Row Gender)	Row Total 60% 40% 100% v Totals	I Total (Ei Percei (Marg	ntire S ntages E inal: wit	ample Based on hin Majo	e) 1 Colum 1 or)	n Tota
Percer Gender M F Col. Total – Per – (Ma	IA 17% 20% 37% centage arginal:	S Base BA 20% 13% 33% Cos Base within (ed on t Major T 23% 7% 30% d on Row Gender) Major	Row Total 60% 40% 100% v Totals	I Total (Ei Percei (Marg	ntire S ntages E inal: wit	ample Based or hin Maje	e) n Columi or) ^{Major}	n Total
Perce Gender M F Col. Totol – Per – (Ma Gender	IA 17% 20% 37% centage arginal: IA 28%	BA 20% 13% 33% es Base within (BA 22%	ed on t Major T 30% d on Row Gender) Major	Row Total 60% 40% 100% v Totals Row Total 100% 100%	I Total (Er Percer (Marg Gender	ntire S ntages E inal: wit	ample Based on hin Majo	e) n Columi or) Major	n Tota Row
Percel Gender M F Col. Total – Per – (Ma Gender	IA 17% 20% 37% centage nrginal: 28% 50%	s Base BA 20% 13% 33% SBase within (BA 33% 33%	ed on t Major T 30% d on Row Gender) Major T (39% 17%	Row Total 60% 40% 100% v Totals 100%	Percer (Marg Gender	ntire S ntages E inal: wit LA 45% 55%	Sample Based or hin Majo BA 60% 40%	e) n Column or) Major T Z2%	n Tota Row 4

QUA SIDE	NTITA -BY-SII	TIVE V DE COM	'S QUA IPARIS	LITATIV SONS	E:		MARC UNIVERSI Be The Differe
• Oua	antitative	e vs Ouali	tative:				
• Exa aut	mple 2: 1 omobile	The distant on wet pa	nce requ avement	ired to stop was measur	a 3000-p ed to con	ound	e
sto Desid	pping cap	pabilities	of three	e tire tread d n B $(n = 6)$	esigns.	Design C (n = 6
37 34	36 38 40 32	21	33 34	35 38 42 34		40 39 41 41	40 43
_	5-Numbe	r Summar	у	Mea	n and Stai	ndard De	viatio
	for Each I	Design		for E	ach Desig	n	
High Q ₃ Median Q ₁	Design A 40 38 36.5 34	Design B 42 38 34.5 34	Design C 43 41 40.5 40	Mean Standard deviatior	Design A 36.2 2.9	Design B 36.0 3.4	Design 0 40.7 1.4



















1 21 - 1 - 1 - 1			(C		
Fina tr	ne linear corre	ation coe	fficient for t	ne pusn-u	p/sit-up dat
Student	Push-ups, x	<i>x</i> ²	Sit-ups, y	<u>у</u> ²	ху
1	27	729	30	900	810
2	22	484	20	0/0 625	372
4	35	1.225	42	1.764	1,470
5	30	900	38	1,444	1,140
6	52	2,704	40	1,600	2,080
8	30 55	1,223	3Z 54	2 016	2 970
9	40	1,600	50	2,500	2,000
10	40	1,600	43	1,849	1,720
	$\Sigma x = 351$ Σx^2	= 13,717	$\Sigma y = 380$ Σy	v ² = 15,298	$\Sigma xy = 14,257$
	sum of x si	um of x ²	sum of y	sum of y ²	sum of xy
SS(x) = 2	$\sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n} =$	13,717 - (3	$\frac{(351)^2}{10} = 1396$	5.9	
SS(y) = 2	$\sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n} =$	15,298 - (3	$\frac{(380)^2}{10} = 858.0$)	

























EXAMPLE -AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF SPORT • Data on 102 male and 100 female athletes collected

at the Australian Institute of Sport, (courtesy of Richard Telford and Ross Cunningham.)

MARQUETTI

Be The Difference

	Gender	Bfat	Wt
1	female	19.75	78.9
2	female	21.30	74.4
3	female	19.88	69.1
4	female	23.66	74.9
5	female	17.64	64.6
	:	:	:
198	male	11.79	93.2
199	male	10.05	80.0
200	male	8.51	73.8
201	male	11.50	71.1
202	male	6.26	76.7
	1 2 3 4 5 198 199 200 201 202	Gender1female2female3female4female5female5male198male199male200male201male202male	Gender Bfat 1 female 19.75 2 female 21.30 3 female 19.88 4 female 23.66 5 female 17.64 : : : 198 male 11.79 199 male 10.05 200 male 8.51 201 male 11.50 202 male 6.26







