





MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY **Example 4.1** One light bulb is randomly selected from a box that contains a 40 watt light bulb, a 60 watt light bulb, a 75 watt light bulb, a 100 watt light bulb, and a 120 watt light bulb. Write the probability function for the random variable that represents the wattage of the randomly selected light bulb, and determine the mean and variance of that random variable. **Solution:** The random variable X can assume the set of values $\Omega = \{40, 60, 75, 100, 120\}$. The probability density function for the random variable X is $\mathbb{P}(X = x|5) = \frac{1}{5}$ for x = 40, 60, 75, 100, 120.The expected value of X, E[X] = 79, and the variance of X, var[X] =804. S can be used to alleviate the arithmetic. Watts <- c(40, 60, 75, 100, 120)meanWatts <- (1/5)*sum(Watts)</pre> varWatts<- (1/5)*sum((Watts-meanWatts)^2)</pre> ans <- c(meanWatts, varWatts)</pre> \triangleright \geq ans [1] 79 804

MARQUETTI UNIVERSITY Be The Difference.

2)



Tossing a coin a single time is an example of a **Bernoulli** trial. A Bernoulli trial is a random experiment with only two possible outcomes. The outcomes are mutually exclusive and exhaustive.

For example, success or failure, true or false, alive or dead, male or female, etc. A Bernoulli random variable X, can take on two values, where X(success) = 1 and X(failure) = 0. The probability that X is a success is π , and the probability that X is a failure is $\rho = 1 - \pi$. Box (4.2) gives the **pdf**, mean, and variance of a Bernoulli random variable.

Bernoulli Distribution
$$X \sim Bernoulli(\pi)$$

 $\mathbb{P}(X = x | \pi) = \pi^x (1 - \pi)^{1 - x}, \quad x = 0, 1$
 $E[X] = \pi$
 $\operatorname{var}[X] = \pi (1 - \pi)$
 $M_X(t) = \pi e^t + \varrho$

$$(4.$$



























MARQUETTI Be The Difference Example 4.4 \triangleright *Poisson: World Cup Soccer* \triangleleft The World Cup is played once every four years. National teams from all over the world compete. In 2002 and in 1998, thirty-six teams were invited; whereas, in 1994 and in 1990, only 24 teams participated. The data frame Soccer contains three columns: CGT, Game, and Goals. All of the information contained in **Soccer** is indirectly available from the FIFA World Cup website, located at http://fifaworldcup.yahoo.com/ The numbers of goals scored in the regulation 90 minute periods of World Cup soccer matches from 1990 to 2002 are listed in column Goals. There were a total of 575 goals scored during regulation time. The game in which the goals were scored is in column Game. There were 232 World Cup soccer games played from 1990 to 2002. There were 64 games played in each of 2002 and 1998 and 54 games played in each of 1994 and 1990. Analyze the number of goals scored during regulation play (90 minutes) of World Cup soccer matches to verify that the scores follow an approximate Poisson distribution. (?)

Solution:
• First, examine the data to see how well it conforms to the Poisson distribution. To calculate the observed number of goals scored during regulation time for the 232 World Cup soccer matches use table().
 Next, let's verify that the mean and variance of Goals are approximately equal library("PASWR") attach(Soccer)
 mean(Goals, na.rm=TRUE) [1] 2.478448 var(Goals, na.rm=TRUE) [1] 2.458408
Create a table to facilitate comparing the observed values (OBS) to the expected values (EXP) as well as the empirical proportions (Empir) to the theoretical proportions (TheoP) for a Poisson Distribution with $\lambda = 2.478448$, the mean number of goals per game. The empirical proportions are merely the number of goals in each category divided by the total number of goals.

R CODE:		Be The Difference.
▶ OBS <- tal	ble(Goals)	
≻ Empir <-	round(OBS/sum(OBS), 3)	
> TheoP <-	<pre>round(dpois(0:(length(OBS)-1), Goals, na.rm="TRUE")),3)</pre>	
► EXP <- ro	und(TheoP*232, 0)	
> ANS <- cb.	ind(OBS, EXP, Empir, TheoP)	
> ANS		
OBS EXP Emp	bir TheoP	
0 19 19 0.0	082 0.084	
1 49 48 0.2	211 0.208	
2 60 60 0.2	259 0.258	
3 47 49 0.2	203 0.213	
4 32 31 0.1	138 0.132	
5 18 15 0.0	0.065	
6 3 6 0.0	013 0.027	
7 3 2 0.0	013 0.010	
8 1 1 0.0	004 0.003	20





SOLUTION CONT.

$$\operatorname{var}[X] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r-\lambda)^2 \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} e^{-\lambda},$$

$$\operatorname{var}[X] = e^{-\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} r^2 \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^2 \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} - 2\lambda \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} r \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} \right\}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r \frac{\lambda^r}{(r-1)!} + \lambda^2 e^{\lambda} - 2\lambda \cdot \lambda \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \right\}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (r-1+1) \frac{\lambda^r}{(r-1)!} + \lambda^2 e^{\lambda} - 2\lambda^2 e^{\lambda} \right\}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (r-1) \frac{\lambda^r}{(r-1)!} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^r}{(r-1)!} + \lambda^2 e^{\lambda} - 2\lambda^2 e^{\lambda} \right\}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \left\{ \lambda^2 + \lambda + \lambda^2 - 2\lambda^2 \right\} e^{\lambda} = \lambda.$$



	MARQUETTI UNIVERSITY Be The Difference.
4.2.4 Geometric Distribution The geometric distribution, like the binomial distribut However, the geometric distribution does not fix the number The geometric distribution computes the probability the f instead of computing the probability of observing x succes X that counts the number of Bernoulli trials that result in called a geometric random variable. Clearly, the probab $\pi \times (1-\pi)^r$, which leads to the geometric probability dist is the probability of failure as it was for the Bernoulli and mean, variance, and mgf for a geometric random variable.	er of trials prior to the experiment. first success occurs after r failures uses in n trials. A random variable n failure before the first success is ility of a success after r failures is aribution function where $\varrho = 1 - \pi$ d binomial distributions. The pdf ,
Geometric Distribution $X \sim Geo(\pi)$ $\mathbb{P}(X = x; \pi) = \pi \varrho^x, x = 0, 1, \dots$ $E[X] = \frac{\varrho}{\pi}$ $Var[X] = \frac{\varrho}{\pi^2}$ $M_X(t) = \frac{\pi}{1 - \varrho e^t}$	<u>Geometric Distribution</u> in Wikipedia







Solution: Using the definition for a continuous random variable
$E[X] = \int_{a}^{b} x \cdot f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x}{b-a} dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot \frac{x^2}{2} \Big _{a}^{b}$ $= \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2(b-a)} = \frac{(b+a)(b-a)}{2(b-a)} = \frac{b+a}{2}.$
$E\left[X^{2}\right] = \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{3} \bigg _{a}^{b} = \frac{b^{3}-a^{3}}{3(b-a)}$
$\operatorname{var}[X] = E\left[X^2\right] - \left(E[X]\right)^2 = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3(b-a)} - \frac{(b+a)^2}{4}$
$= \frac{(b-a)(b^2+ab+a^2)}{3(b-a)} - \frac{(b+a)^2}{4} = \frac{4(b^2+ab+a^2)}{12} - \frac{3(b+a)^2}{12}$ $= \frac{4b^2+4ab+4a^2-(3b^2+6ab+3a^2)}{12} = \frac{b^2-2ab+a^2}{12}$ $= \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}.$
12 • 29

MARQUETTI UNIVERSITY

Generating Pseudo Random Numbers

The generation of pseudo random numbers is fundamental to any simulation study. The term "pseudo random" is used because once one value in such a simulation is known, the next values can be determined without fail, since they are generated by an algorithm. Most major statistical software systems have reputable pseudo random number generators. When using R, the user can specify one of several different random number generators including a user supplied random number generator. For more details, type **?RNG** at the **R** prompt. Generation of random values from named distributions is accomplished with the S command *rdist*, where *dist* is the distribution name; however, it is helpful to understand some of the basic ideas of random number generation in the event a simulation does not involve a named distribution. When the user wants to generate a sample from a continuous random variable X with cdf F, one approach is to use the Inverse Transformation Method. This method simply sets $F_X(X) =$ $U \sim Unif(0,1)$ and solves for X assuming $F_X^{-1}(U)$ actually exists.

MARQUETTE **Example 4.14** Generate a sample of 1000 random values from a continuous distribution with pdf $f(x) = \frac{4}{3}x(2-x^2), \quad 0 \le x \le 1.$ Verify that the mean and variance of the 1000 random values are approximately equal to the mean and variance of the given **pdf**. **Solution:** First, the cdf is found, then $F_X(x)$ is set equal to u and $F_X(x) = \int_0^x \frac{4}{3} t\left(2 - t^2\right) dt = \frac{4}{3} \left(x^2 - \frac{x^4}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{3} x^2 \left(4 - x^2\right),$ Solving for x in terms of u by setting $u = F_X(x)$: $u = \frac{1}{3}x^2(4-x^2)$ $3u = 4x^2 - x^4$ multiply by 3 and distribute x^2 $-3u + 4 = x^4 - 4x^2 + 4$ multiply by -1 and add 4 to con $-3u + 4 = (x^2 - 2)^2$ factor $\pm \sqrt{-3u+4} = x^2 - 2$ take the square root of both sides $2 \pm \sqrt{-3u+4} = x^2$ add 2 $\pm\sqrt{2\pm\sqrt{-3u+4}} = x$ take the square root of both sides



MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY **4.3.2 Exponential Distribution** When observing a Poisson process such as that in Example 4.4 on page 25 where the number of outcomes in a fixed interval such as the number of goals scored during 90 minutes of World Cup soccer is counted, the random variable X, which measures the number of outcomes (number of goals), is modeled with the Poisson distribution. However, not only is X, the number of outcomes in a fixed interval, a random variable but also is the waiting time between successive outcomes. If W is the waiting time until the first outcome of a Poisson process with mean $\lambda > 0$, then the pdf for $f(w) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda w} & \text{if } w \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \end{cases}$ W is *Proof:* Since waiting time is nonnegative, F(w) = 0 for w < 0. When $w \geq 0$, $\Pi()$ $m(\mathbf{H} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}) = 1$ (117 ``

$$F(w) = \mathbb{P}(W \le w) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(W > w)$$
$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\text{no outcomes in } [0, w])$$

MARQUETTE

Proof: Since waiting time is nonnegative, F(w) = 0 for w < 0. When $w \ge 0$, $F(w) = \mathbb{P}(W \le w) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(W > w)$ $= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\text{no outcomes in } [0, w])$ $= 1 - \frac{(\lambda w)^0 e^{-\lambda w}}{0!}$ $= 1 - e^{-\lambda w}$ Consequently, when w > 0, the pdf of W is $F'(w) = f(w) = \lambda e^{-\lambda w}$.

The exponential distribution is characterized by a lack of memory property and is often used to model lifetimes of electronic components as well as waiting times for Poisson processes. A random variable is said to be **memoryless** if

$$\mathbb{P}(X > t_2 + t_1 | X > t_1) = \mathbb{P}(X > t_2) \text{ for all } t_1, t_2 \ge 0.$$
(4.8)



Example 4.16 Given $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$, find the mean and variance of X. Solution: $E[X] = \int_{0}^{\infty} x\lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx.$ Integrating by parts where u = x, and $dv = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$ obtain $E[X] = -xe^{-\lambda x} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{0}^{\infty} -e^{-\lambda x} dx$ $= 0 - \frac{1}{\lambda e^{\lambda x}} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$ Before finding the variance of X, find $E[X^2]$ $E[X^2] = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^2 \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$ (4.10)

$$E\left[X^2\right] = \int_0^\infty x^2 \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$
Note that $E[X] = \int_0^\infty x\lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \Rightarrow \frac{E[X]}{\lambda} = \int_0^\infty xe^{-\lambda x} dx$ and integrate (4.10) by parts where $u = x^2$ and $dv = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$:
$$E\left[X^2\right] = -x^2 e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty -2x e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= 0 + 2\frac{E[X]}{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\lambda^2}.$$
Using the fact that $\operatorname{var}[X] = E\left[X^2\right] - (E[X])^2$, obtain $\operatorname{var}[X] = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$

MARQUETT UNIVERSITY

Quite often, the pdf for the exponential is expressed as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}e^{-x/\theta}, \quad x \ge 0, \quad \theta > 0,$$

where $\theta = \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Of course, the **mgf** is then written as $M_X(t) = (1-\theta t)^{-1}$ and the reparameterized mean and variance are θ and θ^2 respectively.

Note the relationship between the Poisson mean and the exponential mean. Given a Poisson process with mean λ , the waiting time until the first outcome has an exponential distribution with mean $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. That is if λ represents the number of outcomes in a unit interval, $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is the mean waiting time for the first change.

REMEMBER

The exponential distribution is characterized by a lack of memory property and is often used to model lifetimes of electronic components as well as waiting times for Poisson processes. A random variable is said to be **memoryless** if

 $\mathbb{P}(X > t_2 + t_1 | X > t_1) = \mathbb{P}(X > t_2) \text{ for all } t_1, t_2 \ge 0.$ (4.8)

If X denotes the lifetime of

MARQUETTI UNIVERSITY

an electronic component following an exponential distribution with mean $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, (4.8) implies that the probability the component will work for $t_2 + t_1$ hours given that it has worked for t_1 hours is the same as the probability that the component will function for at least t_2 hours. In other words, the component has no memory of having functioned for t_1 hours. Equation (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X > t_2 + t_1, X > t_1)}{\mathbb{P}(X > t_1)} &= \mathbb{P}(X > t_2), \end{aligned}$$
which is equivalent to
$$\mathbb{P}(X > t_2 + t_1) = \mathbb{P}(X > t_2)\mathbb{P}(X > t_1). \end{aligned} (4.11)$$
Since $\mathbb{P}(X > t_2 + t_1) = e^{-\lambda(t_2 + t_1)} = e^{-\lambda t_2}e^{-\lambda t_1} = \mathbb{P}(X > t_2)\mathbb{P}(X > t_1)$



<pre>> round(pexp(12,1/8) - pexp(3,1/8),4) [1] 0.4642 > f1 <- function(x){(1/8)*exp(-x/8)} > integrate(f1,3,12) # For R 0.4641591 with absolute error < 5.2e-15</pre>	R CODE:	UNIVERSITY
[1] 0.4642 > f1 <- function (x) { (1/8) *exp (-x/8) } > integrate (f1, 3, 12) # For R 0.4641591 with absolute error < 5.2e-15 (b) The 95 th percentile is the value x_{95} such that $\int_{-\infty}^{x_{95}} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{x_{95}} \frac{1}{8}e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) = 23.96586$		Be The Difference
> f1 <- function (x) { (1/8) *exp (-x/8) } > integrate (f1, 3, 12) # For R 0.4641591 with absolute error < 5.2e-15 (b) The 95 th percentile is the value x_{95} such that $\int_{-\infty}^{x_{95}} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{x_{95}} \frac{1}{8}e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	round (pexp(12,1/8) - pexp(3,1/8),4)	
> integrate (f1, 3, 12) # For R 0.4641591 with absolute error < 5.2e-15 (b) The 95 th percentile is the value x_{95} such that $\int_{-\infty}^{x_{95}} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{x_{95}} \frac{1}{8} e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8 \ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	[1] 0.4642	
0.4641591 with absolute error < 5.2e-15 (b) The 95 th percentile is the value x_{95} such that $\int_{-\infty}^{x_{95}} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{x_{95}} \frac{1}{8} e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8 \ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	<pre>> f1 <- function(x) { (1/8) *exp(-x/8) }</pre>	
(b) The 95 th percentile is the value x_{95} such that $\int_{-\infty}^{x_{95}} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{x_{95}} \frac{1}{8} e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8 \ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	<pre>integrate(f1,3,12) # For R</pre>	
$\int_{-\infty}^{x_{95}} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{x_{95}} \frac{1}{8} e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	0.4641591 with absolute error < $5.2e-15$	
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8} e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$ $-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	(b) The 95 th percentile is the value x_{95} such that	
$-e^{-x/8} \Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$ $e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{5}{100}$ $x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8} e^{-x/8} dx = \frac{95}{100}$	
$x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) = 23.96586$	$-e^{-x/8}\Big _{0}^{x_{95}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x_{95}}{8}} = \frac{95}{100}$	
	$e^{-rac{x_{95}}{8}} = rac{5}{100}$	
> qexp(0.95,1/8)	$x_{95} = -8\ln(0.05) =$	23.96586
	▶ qexp(0.95,1/8)	
[1] 23.96586	[1] 23.96586	



4.3.3 Gamma Distribution Some random variables are always nonnegative and yield distributions of data that tend to be skewed. The waiting time until a certain number of malfunctions in jet engines, and similar scenarios where the random variable of interest is the waiting time until a certain number of events take place yield skewed distributions. The **gamma** distribution is often used to model the waiting time until the α^{th} event in a Poisson process.

Before defining the gamma distribution, review the definition of the gamma function. The **gamma function**, $\Gamma(\alpha)$, is defined by:

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} dx, \quad \alpha > 0$$
(4.12)

Some of the more important properties of the gamma function include: 1. For $\alpha > 0$, $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$

- 2. For any positive integer, n, $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$
- 3. $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY Be The Difference.

In Section 4 on page 64, it was proved that the waiting time until the first outcome in a Poisson process follows an exponential distribution. Now, let W denote the waiting time until the α^{th} outcome and derive the distribution of W in a similar fashion. Since waiting time is nonnegative, F(w) = 0 for w < 0. When $w \ge 0$,

$$\begin{split} F(w) &= \mathbb{P}(W \leq w) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(W > w) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\text{fewer than } \alpha \text{ outcomes in } [0, w]) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha - 1} \frac{(\lambda w)^k e^{-\lambda w}}{k!} \end{split}$$

Consequently, when w>0, the pdf of W is F'(w)=f(w) whenever this derivative exists. It follows then that

$$f(w) = F'(w) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{(\lambda w)^k e^{-\lambda w}(-\lambda) + e^{-\lambda w} k(\lambda w)^{k-1} \lambda}{k!}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda (\lambda w)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda w}}{(\alpha-1)!} = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha} w^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda w}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$



















MARQUETTE

The \mathbf{cdf} for a normal random variable, X, with mean, $\mu,$ and standard deviation, $\sigma,$ is

$$F(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \le x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt.$$
(4.15)

A normal random variable with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, often denoted Z, is called a **standard normal** random variable. The **cdf** for the standard normal distribution, given in (4.17), is computed by first standardizing the random variable X, where $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$, using the change of variable formula in (4.16).

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1) \tag{4.16}$$

$$F(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \le x) = \mathbb{P}\left(Z \le \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{(x-\mu)}{\sigma}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz$$
(4.17)

Neither the integral for (4.17) nor the integral for (4.15) can be computed with standard techniques of integration. However, (4.17) has been numerically evaluated and tabled. Further, any normal random variable can be converted to a standard normal random variable using (4.16). The process of computing $\mathbb{P}(a \leq X \leq b)$ where

 $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$ is graphically illustrated in Figure 4.9 on page 104. Throughout the text, the convention z_{α} is used to represent the value of the standard normal random variable Z that has α of its area to the left of said value. In other words, $\mathbb{P}(Z < z_{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Another notation that is also used in the text is $\Phi(z_{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Basically, the $\Phi(\text{value})$ is





MARQUETTI UNIVERSITY Be The Difference.

MARQUETTI UNIVERSITY

Example 4.21 Scores on a particular standardized test follow a normal distribution with a mean of 100 and standard deviation of 10.

- (a) What is the probability that a randomly selected individual will score between 90 and 115?
- (b) What score does one need to be in the top 10%?
- (c) Find the constant c such that $\mathbb{P}(105 \le X \le c) = 0.10$.

• Solution:

(a) To find $\mathbb{P}(90 \leq X \leq 115)$, first draw a picture representing the desired area such as the one in Figure 4.10 on page 112. Note that finding the area between 90 and 115 is equivalent to finding the area to the left of 115 and from that area, subtracting the area to the left of 90. In other words,

$$\mathbb{P}(90 \le X \le 115) = \mathbb{P}(X \le 115) - \mathbb{P}(X \le 90).$$

To find $\mathbb{P}(X \le 115)$ and $\mathbb{P}(X \le 90)$, one can standardize using (4.16). That is,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le 115) = \mathbb{P}\left(Z \le \frac{115 - 100}{10}\right) = \mathbb{P}(Z \le 1.5),$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le 90) = \mathbb{P}\left(Z \le \frac{90 - 100}{10}\right) = \mathbb{P}(Z \le -1.0).$$

Using the S commands pnorm(1.5) and pnorm(-1), find the areas to the left of 1.5 and -1.0 to be 0.9332 and 0.1586 respectively.

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}(90 \le X \le 115) = \mathbb{P}(-1.0 \le Z \le 1.5)$$

= $\mathbb{P}(Z \le 1.5) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le -1.0)$
= 0.9332 - 0.1587 = 0.7745.

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY Be The Difference.

(b) Finding the value c such that 90% of the area is to its left is equivalent to finding the value c such that 10% of its area is to the right. That is, finding the value c that satisfies $\mathbb{P}(X \leq c) = 0.90$ is equivalent to finding the value c such that $\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) = 0.10$. Since the **qnorm()** function refers to areas to the left of a given value by default, solve

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le c) = \mathbb{P}\left(Z = \frac{X - 100}{10} \le \frac{c - 100}{10}\right) = 0.90 \text{ for } c.$$

Using qnorm(.9), find the Z value (1.2816) such that 90% of the area in the distribution is to the left of that value. Consequently, to be in the top 10%, one needs to be more than 1.2816 standard deviations above the mean.

$$\frac{c - 100}{10} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 1.2816$$

and solve for $c \Rightarrow c = 112.816$.

To be in the top 10%, one needs to score 112.816 or higher.

(c) $\mathbb{P}(105 \le X \le c) = 0.10$ is the same as $\mathbb{P}(X \le c) = 0.10 + \mathbb{P}(X \le 105) = 0.10 + \mathbb{P}\left(Z \le \frac{105 - 100}{10}\right)$. Using pnorm(.5), $\mathbb{P}\left(Z \le \frac{105 - 100}{10}\right) = \mathbb{P}(Z \le 0.5) = 0.6915$. It follows then that $\mathbb{P}(X \le c) = 0.7915$. Using qnorm(.7915), gives 0.8116. $\mathbb{P}(X \le c) = \mathbb{P}\left(Z = \frac{X - 100}{10} \le \frac{c - 100}{10}\right) = 0.7915$ is found by solving $\frac{c - 100}{10} = 0.8116 \Rightarrow c = 108.116$

Note that a Z value of 0.8116 has 79.15% of its area to the left of that value.







Solution: Let X = the ohm rating of the patented components. (a) Because a normal distribution is symmetric, the mean equals the median. It is known that 50% of the components have an ohm rating of 0.5 Ω or less, so $\mu_X = 0.5$. To calculate the standard deviation of the components' ohm ratings, use the fact that "10% have an ohm rating of 0.628 Ω or greater." This means that $\mathbb{P}(X \leq 0.628) = 0.9$,

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

which implies
$$\mathbb{P}\left(Z = \frac{X - 0.5}{\sigma} \le \frac{.628 - .5}{\sigma}\right) = 0.9.$$

Because $\mathbb{P}(Z \le 1.28) = 0.9$, set $\frac{0.628 - 0.5}{\sigma} = 1.28$
and solve for σ . $\frac{0.628 - 0.5}{1.28} = \sigma$
Therefore $\sigma = 0.1.$

















